

2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program BLM - Bishop Field Office's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)
https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 4 points.
- #5 – The Applicant has been out of Good Standing with the Division within the last two complete calendar years prior to the current Grant Cycle. Deduct 10 points.
- #6 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

Ground Operations: G24-01-05-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #7 "Resource Specialists" – The Applicant clarified they are completing the Soils Compliance "final report" but did not remove language related to the Soil

Compliance "Plan" which is due at the final Application. The Applicant must remove the "Plan" from the activities of this line item.

- Staff #8 "Resource Technicians – Seasonal" – The Applicant clarified they are completing the Soils Compliance "final report" but did not remove language related to the Soil Compliance "Plan" which is due at the final Application. The Applicant must remove the "Plan" from the activities of this line item.
- Equipment Use Expenses #2 "Equipment Rental – Backhoe or excavator" – Contingent line item: The Applicant must clarify how the rental cost was determined.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – The narrative does not support the selection of "Providing varied levels of riding difficulty". The Applicant added information within the Project Description to support their selection but removed information within the narrative that supported the selection. Deduct 1 point.
- #4 – The narrative does not support the selections. The Applicant did not state whether the meetings were separate from the Inyo National Forest public and stakeholder meetings times. Deduct 2 points.

Law Enforcement: G24-01-05-L01

Needs Assessment

- No change.

Project Certification

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Staff #1 "Staff–Law Enforcement Rangers" – The Applicant increased the Grant request for this line item without receiving a Division or public comment. The line item reverts to the original preliminary Application Grant request of \$58,065 and match of \$4,835. Deduct \$13,935 from Grant and \$940 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$58,065 and match \$4,835.
- Staff #2 "Staff–Park Ranger" – The Applicant increased the Grant request for this line item without receiving a Division or public comment. The line item reverts to the original preliminary Application match of \$3,120. Deduct \$1,040 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$3,120.
- Staff #3 "Seasonal Park Ranger" – The Applicant increased the Grant request for this line item without receiving a Division or public comment. The line item reverts to the original preliminary Application match of \$2,860. Deduct \$440 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$2,860.
- Indirect Costs #1 "Indirect Costs" – The Applicant increased the Grant request for this line item without receiving a Division or public comment. The line item reverts

to the original preliminary Application match of \$6,900. Deduct \$2,100 from match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$0 and match \$6,900.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$58,065

Match: \$19,513

Total Project Cost: \$77,578